How an Occupational Exoskeleton Affects Users' Comfort and Physical Exertion

Tatyana Balashova, Satabdee Purkayastha, Nirali Shah, Rebecca Lindgren, and Georgia T. Chao

INTRO:

- Occupational exoskeletons are wearable assistive devices that can support and assist the user's body during physically intensive work (Elprama et al., 2022).
- Safety benefits and possible performance enhancements (Kermavnar et al., 2021) have led organizations to implement this technology, but there is limited research on employees' perceptions of them.
- Previous exoskeleton research has found evidence of reduced exertion and increased discomfort when wearing an exoskeleton, but methodological weaknesses cloud the validity of these findings.

METHODS:

- Within-subjects design with a control (no exo) and exoskeleton condition.
- Participants (N = 90) performed 8 occupational tasks (4 supported, 4 unsupported).
- General comfort measured with one item: What was your comfort level while ____?" (Baltrusch et al., 2018). Rated on a 1 to 5 Likert scale.
- Physical exertion measured with the one item BORG CR-10 scale: Please rate your exertion (i.e., perceived intensity of physical effort) while _____" (Borg, 1990; Giustetto et al., 2021). The category ratio (CR) scale has 12 response options.
- Participants completed each item after every task.

RESULTS:

- Wearing the exo sig. decreased physical exertion in the four tasks it is designed to support.
- Wearing the exo sig. increased comfort in two tasks it is designed to support.
- Wearing the exo sig. decreased comfort in the four tasks it is not designed to support.

SOUTH FLORIDA

Occupational exoskeleton decreases exertion but also decreases comfort in everyday

worker tasks.





IMPLICATIONS:

- Although exoskeletons are decreasing physiological and psychological exertion, users still feel they are uncomfortable in everyday tasks.
- Exoskeleton designers should continue to re-design the device to minimize discomfort and pressure points.
- Organizations interested in implementing exoskeletons should ensure proper usage training and guidelines for workers to follow to minimize the risk of injury.

FURTHER DIRECTIONS:

- A similar study should be carried out in a field setting with workers who use exoskeletons.
- Exertion and comfort should be evaluated in other types of exoskeletons as well (powered exoskeletons, armsupport exoskeletons, etc.).

If you want to try on an exoskeleton and participate in our study, scan here!



REFERENCES:

Baltrusch, S. J., van Dieën, J. H., van Bennekom, C. A. M., & Houdijk, H. (2018). The effect of a passive trunk exoskeleton on functional performance in healthy individuals. *Applied Ergonomics*, 72, 94–106.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2018.04.007

Borg, G. (1990). Psychophysical scaling with applications in physical work and the perception of exertion. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 16, 55–58. <u>https://doi.org/10.5271/siweh.1815</u> Elprama, S. A., Vanderborght, B., & Jacobs, A. (2022). An industrial

Elprama, S. A., Vanderborght, B., & Jacobs, A. (2022). An industrial exoskeleton user acceptance framework based on a literature review of empirical studies. *Applied Ergonomics*, 100, 103615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2021.103615

Giustetto, A., Vieira Dos Anjos, F., Gallo, F., Monferino, R., Cerone, G. L., Di Pardo, M., Gazzoni, M., & Micheletti Cremasco, M. (2021). Investigating the effect of a passive trunk exoskeleton on local discomfort, perceived effort and spatial distribution of back muscles activity. *Ergonomics*, 64(11), 1379–1392. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2021.1928297 Kermavnar, T., de Vries, A. W., de Looze, M. P., & O'Sullivan, L. W. (2021).

Kermavnar, T., de Vries, A. W., de Looze, M. P., & O'Sullivan, L. W. (2021) Effects of industrial back-support exoskeletons on body loading and user experience: An updated systematic review. *Ergonomics*, 64(6), 685–711. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2020.1870162