Sudoku and Emotional Memory Interference **SOUTH FLORIDA**

Ashlee Ross, Bradley Buchanan, Sarah Nyren, Amielle Jenkins, Rachel Gaynor, Bryce Romero, Sydney Geller, & Max Owens **Department of Psychology**

Introduction

Autobiographical Memories: personal and intricate; memories that have been reflected on throughout life, so they become solidified (Conway & Pleydell-Pearce, 2000; Neil Macrae & Roseveare, 2002).

Vividness: the detail, clarity, and intensity of an autobiographical memory (Tooming & Miyazono, 2020).

Emotionality: how unpleasant a negative autobiographical memory is to recall (Van den Hout et al., 2011).

Dual Task Paradigms: limit cognitive resources dedicated to recalling a memory by introducing a taxing additional task that requires these resources (Van den Hout & Engelhard, 2012).

Working Memory Theory: holding a mental image or memory in mind demands cognitive resources (Andrade et al., 1997; Baddeley & Hitch, 1974). Dual-task paradigms reduce the vividness and emotionality of an autobiographical memory as the dual-task demands cognitive resources which reduces the amount available to recall the memory (Andrade et al., 1997).

Sudoku: engages both medial and lateral functions of the prefrontal cortex. Performance correlated with the degree of working memory function (Ashlesh et al., 2020).

Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD): the recollection of autobiographical memories can inhibit daily functioning (Brewin et al., 2010). Individuals with PTSD report extremely lucid, intense memories of agonizing events (Beckers & Kindt, 2017).

Current Study: I aim to better reveal if a sudoku task measure reduces the cognitive resources available for a negative autobiographical memory and thus influences the emotionality and vividness of the mental image.

Hypothesis 1: The dual-task group (sudoku) is expected to rate a greater reduction in negative emotion toward the memory than the null post-task.

Hypothesis 2: The dual-task group (sudoku) is expected to experience a greater reduction in the vividness of the memory after task completion than the null.

Methods

Initial *a priori* power and sensitivity analysis was completed using G*Power. This study is aiming for a precise sample size to reach significant power with a moderate effect size ($\eta=0.35$, $\alpha=0.05$, $\beta=0.85$) (Mertens et al., 2021). There will be a minimum of 76 participants recruited through the University of South Florida's SONA system.

- Single-factor design with 2 dependent variables measured (vividness and emotionality)
- Condition (dual task vs. null) will be manipulated between subjects.
- Dependent variables will be measured pre-task and post-task; quantified as the difference between post-task and pre-task.
- Participants will rate the emotionality and vividness of their recollection from 0 (not at all) to 100 (extremely) using Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) (Engelhard et al., 2011).



		1		9		2	7	
		9			2		5	
2					3			
3				1	4			2
	8						4	
1			2	8				5
			9					7
	1		3			9		
	4	6		7		5		

to post-task than those in the null group (M = -11.62, SD = 21.84).



clinical populations and focus on non-academic memories.



Conclusions

Sudoku showed a significant impact on emotionality and vividness scores. We expect this significance to continue as we become more powered.

Sudoku was a functional task type in this Dual-Task paradigm. This said, the sudoku task effectively demanded cognitive resources and decreased the amount available for recalling the memory. This reflected a reduction in vividness ratings of the Dual-Task group after the sudoku portion was complete. A reduction was not reflected, though, for emotionality ratings.

This relationship was not seen in the null group. Post-task vividness and emotionality scores are significantly greater than those in the null group. This group was not reducing the cognitive resources available to recall the negative memory. There was a greater decrease in vividness scores than emotionality scores, consistent with previous literature (Mertens et

References

Andrade, J., Kavanagh, D., & Baddeley, A. (1997). Eye-movements and visual imagery: A working memory approach to the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 36(2), 209–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.1997.tb01408.x Baddeley, A. D., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working memory.

Psychology of Learning and Motivation, 47–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0079-7421(08)60452-1

Beckers, T., & Kindt, M. (2017). Memory reconsolidation interference as an emerging treatment for emotional disorders: Strengths, Limitations, challenges, and opportunities. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 13(1), 99–121.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-045209 Mertens, G., Lund, M., & Engelhard, I. M. (2021). The

effectiveness of dual-task interventions for modulating emotional memories in the Laboratory: A meta-analysis. Acta Psychologica, 220, 103424.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2021.103424

Neil Macrae, C., & Roseveare, T. A. (2002). I was always on My mind: The self and temporary forgetting. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 9(3), 611–614.

https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03196320

Tooming, U., & Miyazono, K. (2020). Vividness as a natural kind. Synthese, 199(1–2), 3023–3043.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-020-02920-9

Van den Hout, M. A., & Engelhard, I. M. (2012a). How does emdr work? Journal of Experimental Psychopathology, 3(5), 724– 738. https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.028212

Van den Hout, M., Muris, P., Salemink, E., & Kindt, M. (2001). Autobiographical memories become less vivid and emotional after eye movements. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 40(2), 121–130. <u>https://doi.org/10.1348/014466501163571</u>